

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
08/844,731	14,731 04/21/1997		STALEY A. BROD	D5716-CIP3	7636	
27851	7590	11/29/2004		EXAMINER		
BENJAMII 8011 CAND			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN			
HOUSTON,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1647		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		08/844,7	08/844,731		BROD, STALEY A.	
Off	ice Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Jegathee	san Seharaseyon	1647		
	IAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess	
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv earned patent to	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum sta within the set or extended period for reply yed by the Office later than three months a erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolunication. 0) days, a reply within the stareatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3 rill expire SIX (6) MONTH Dication to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this common to the c	nunication.	
Status						
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file					
<i>7</i> —		2b)⊠ This action is r				
• —	his application is in condition in accordance with the practi				ierits is	
Disposition of C	Claims					
4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-11 and 16-22</u> is/are pend the above claim(s) is/a s) is/are allowed. s) <u>8-11 and 16-22</u> is/are rejects) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
Application Pap	ers					
10)☐ The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on is/are: nt may not request that any objected to drawing sheet(s) including th or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requir	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknow a) All 1. 2. 6 3. 6	vledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio attached detailed Office actio	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National St	age	
Attachment(s)	au			(DT-2-146)		
	rences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Surr Paper No(s)/N	ımary (PTO-413) 1ail Date		
3) 🔲 Information Di	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	

Application/Control Number: 08/844,731 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/9/2004 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. The Art Unit location and the examiner of your application in the PTO has changed.
 To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence
 regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1647.
- 3.Claims 18 and 16 have been amended. Therefore, Claims are 8-11 and 16-22 are pending.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e), maintained

6. The rejection of Claims 8, 9, 11, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sobel (U.S. Patent No: 5,780,021) is maintained. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive. Further, Applicant in the response filed 11/3/2003 indicate that only claims 8-11 and 16-18 are pending in the Application. In fact the Application contains claims 8-11 and 16-22.

Contrary to Applicant's assertion, claims 19-22 are drawn to reducing the blood glucose in an animal (see page 7 of response), which is inherent to the administration IFN- α as described by Sobel. Sobel also discusses the administrations being once per day to once per week. This also includes the administration every other day as claimed in claim 11 of the instant invention.

Applicant's arguments filed 11/03/2003 with respect to Sobel is based on the fact that the examples "do not provide an enabling disclosure for oral administration", that patentee's statements are broad and that Sobel does not provide for oral administration and "for ingestion". These have been adequately addressed in the previous Office Action dated 03/08/2004 (see page 4).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-22 that Sobel cannot anticipate them because it lacks enabling disclosure for oral administration as been addressed above and on page 4 of the Office Action dated 03/08/2004. Therefore, rejection of claims 8, 9, 11, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22 under U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Sobel (U.S. Patent No: 5, 780, 021) is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The rejection of claims 8-11 and 16-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sobel (U.S. Patent No: 5,780,021) in view of Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 5, 019, 382) is withdrawn in favor of the rejection of claims 10, 18 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sobel (U.S. Patent No: 5,780,021) in view of Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 5, 019, 382) and Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 4, 497, 795). Applicant's arguments filed on 11/03/2003 have been fully considered but are not

Art Unit: 1647

persuasive for reasons set forth in the Office Action of 03/08/2004. The instant rejections cover the dosage used by the Applicant to reflect the decision of BPA&I (9/6/2002). Sobel's teachings have been discussed in paragraph 2 of 03/08/2004 Office Action and above in paragraph 6. The reference does not teach dosage ranges described in claims 10, 18 and 21. Cummings describes the oral administration of about 0.1 to about 5 IU/lb per day of interferon (U.S. Patent No: 5, 019, 382, abstract). This is equivalent to about 0.22 to about 11IU/kg. Cummings also describes that 1 unit \approx 0.1IU (column 3, lines 54-55). In addition, Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 4, 497, 795) teaches the oral administration of 5,000 to 50,000 units of interferon per Kg body weight (see claim 15). This is equivalent to about 500 to 5000 IU/Kg. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the interferon doses of Sobel to those taught by Cummings with expectation of treating IDMM patients. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use interferon in the doses recommended by Cummings et al to treat IDMM with the expectation of success as because Cummings(U.S. Patent No: 5, 019, 382) teaches the treatment of autoimmune disorder which includes IDMM. Therefore, the instant claims are prima facie obvious over Sobel (U.S. Patent No: 5,780,021) in view of Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 5, 019, 382) and Cummings (U.S. Patent No: 4, 497, 795)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/844,731

Art Unit: 1647

Claim 8-11 and 16-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8a. Claims that recite the "at-risk populations", such as claim 8 and 16, are indefinite as there is no limiting definition of such in the specification, and the metes and bounds of that which at-risk populations are unclear. Claim 9-11, 17 and 18 are rejected insofar as it is depended on rejected claims 8 and 16.

9. No claims are allowable.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSS 11/04

DOIN THE STATES